

Supertwistors, Super Yang-Mills Theory and Integrability

Martin Wolf

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Hannover

April 15, 2005 – Duke University/UNC

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Supertwistors and Penrose-Ward transform
 - Supertwistor Geometry
 - Penrose-Ward Transform
- 3 Hidden Symmetry Algebras
 - Integrability
 - Supertwistor Construction of Hidden Symmetry Algebras
- 4 Conclusions and Outlook

Motivation for Twistor String Theory

- Witten's original motivation for twistor string theory was to find some new kind of gauge/string duality, i.e., some sort of **weak-weak** duality, contrary to Maldacena's AdS/CFT correspondence, which is of **weak-strong** type.

[E. Witten, hep-th/0312171]

- Remember, this correspondence states the equivalence of **$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory** on compactified Minkowski space and **type IIB superstring theory** on $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

[J. Maldacena, hep-th/9711200]

Motivation for Twistor String Theory

- To describe **weakly** coupled gauge theory in this setup, one needs to consider the **full** string theory (and vice versa).
Makes it difficult to test the correspondence!
- $AdS_5 \times S^5$ has a $PSU(2, 2|4)$ symmetry:

$$PSU(2, 2|4) \sim \begin{pmatrix} \text{Bose} & \text{Fermi} \\ \text{Fermi} & \text{Bose} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Witten suggested to take the supertwistor space $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$ as the target space for a yet to be determined string theory:

$$(Z^1, \dots, Z^4 | \psi^1, \dots, \psi^4) \sim (\lambda Z^1, \dots, \lambda Z^4 | \lambda \psi^1, \dots, \lambda \psi^4)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, since ...

Motivation for Twistor String Theory

- Consider $\mathbb{C}^{4|4}$. Its full supergroup of linear transformations is called $GL(4|4)$. Thus, $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$ has the symmetry group

$$PGL(4|4) = GL(4|4)/\{\text{center}\}.$$

- On $\mathbb{C}^{4|4}$, we may consider

$$\Omega_0 \equiv dZ^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dZ^4 d\psi^1 \dots d\psi^4.$$

The subgroup of $PGL(4|4)$ that preserves Ω_0 is $PSL(4|4)$.

- Remember that the superconformal group in $4D$ is just a real form of $PSL(4|4)$.
- Ω_0 is a section of the Berezinian of $T\mathbb{C}^{4|4}$, i.e., an **integral form** rather than a differential form.

Motivation for Twistor String Theory

- Furthermore, Ω_0 is invariant under

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\mapsto \lambda Z & \text{and} & & \psi &\mapsto \lambda\psi, \\ dZ &\mapsto \lambda dZ & \text{and} & & d\psi &\mapsto \lambda^{-1}d\psi. \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, Ω_0 descends to a **holomorphic measure** Ω on $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$.
 $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$ is a **Calabi-Yau supermanifold**.
- The CY condition enables us to define a **topological B-model** with target $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$.

Motivation for Twistor String Theory

- Recall that the **open** topological B -model describes holomorphic bundles and their moduli, while the **closed** string sector describes variations of the complex structure of the target.
- In the (open) B -model we start with a stack of N space-filling **D -branes** on $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$. The gauge group will be $GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ and the basic field is a **gauge-field** \mathcal{A} on $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$, which is of type $(0, 1)$ together with the action

$$S = \int \Omega \wedge \text{tr}(\mathcal{A} \wedge \bar{\partial}\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}),$$

i.e., holomorphic Chern-Simons theory.

Motivation for Twistor String Theory

- Via the **Penrose-Ward transform** (cf. below), we get the spectrum and parts of the interactions of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory.

[R. Penrose, Rept. Math. Phys. 12 (1977) 65]

[R. S. Ward, Phys. Lett. A 61 (1977) 81]

- Witten then showed that the interactions of the **full** gauge theory come from **D1-instantons** on which open strings can end, i.e., he demonstrated that perturbative gauge theory (at tree-level) can be described as a *D1*-instanton expansion of the *B*-model.

Flag Manifolds

- For the moment, let's forget about the word **super** and restrict ourselves to ordinary twistor geometry. To add **fermions** later on won't be a big deal.
- Let V be a complex vector space of dimension n and consider its **flag manifold**

$$F_{d_1 \dots d_m}(V) \equiv \{(\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_m) \mid \mathcal{S}_i \subset V, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}_i = d_i, \\ \mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{S}_m\}.$$

- Examples: $F_1 = \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and $F_k = G_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Double Fibration

- Let \mathbb{T} be a fixed complex vector space of dimension 4 and call it **twistor space**.
- Then, we've the natural **double fibration**

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & F_{12}(\mathbb{T}) & \\
 \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\
 F_1(\mathbb{T}) & & F_2(\mathbb{T})
 \end{array}$$

with $\pi_1(S_1, S_2) = S_1$ and $\pi_2(S_1, S_2) = S_2$.

- Define:
 - $\mathbb{P} \equiv F_1(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{C}P^3$ – **projective twistor space**
 - $\mathbb{M} \equiv F_2(\mathbb{T}) = G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ – **compactified complexified 4-space**
 - $\mathbb{F} \equiv F_{12}(\mathbb{T})$ – **correspondence space**

Coordinates

- Then, we've the following proposition (cf. below):

$$\text{point in } \mathbb{P} \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2 \subset \mathbb{M}$$

$$\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{P} \longleftrightarrow \text{point in } \mathbb{M}$$

- Let

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbb{1}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a **coordinate mapping** for \mathbb{M} . Then we define the **coordinate chart** on \mathbb{M} by

$$\mathcal{M} \equiv \varphi(\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}) \cong \mathbb{C}^4.$$

We call \mathcal{M} **affine complexified 4-space**, noting that it is simply **one** of six choices of standard coordinate charts.

Coordinates

- Define the **affine** parts of \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{F} according to:
 - $\mathcal{P} \equiv \pi_1 \circ \pi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$
 - $\mathcal{F} \equiv \pi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$
- Then, we've $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Proof: Let $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ be homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and x as above. Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, [\lambda]) &\mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \mathbb{1}_2 \end{bmatrix} \lambda, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \mathbb{1}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} x\lambda \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \mathbb{1}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \left(\mathcal{S}_1^{x,\lambda}, \mathcal{S}_2^{x,\lambda} \right) \in \mathbb{F}. \end{aligned}$$

This defines an diffeomorphism. ■

Coordinates

- Thus, our double fibration in terms of these coordinates has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (x, [\lambda]) \in \mathbb{F} & \\
 \swarrow \pi_1 & & \searrow \pi_2 \\
 [x\lambda, \lambda] \in \mathbb{P} & & x \in \mathbb{M}
 \end{array}$$

- In particular, this shows that \mathcal{P} is the total space of the **rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle**

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

Coordinates

- In the following, we're **only** interested in the “affinization” of our initial double fibration and consider:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{F}^5 = \mathcal{M}^4 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 & & \\
 \swarrow \pi_1 & & \searrow \pi_2 \\
 \mathcal{P}^3 & & \mathcal{M}^4
 \end{array}$$

Here, we've indicated the respective dimensions and introduce the coordinates:

- \mathcal{M}^4 : $x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$
- \mathcal{F}^5 : $x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and λ_{\pm}
- \mathcal{P}^3 : $z_+^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ = x^{\alpha 1} + x^{\alpha 2} \lambda_+$,
 $z_+^{\alpha} = \lambda_+ z_-^{\alpha}$ and $z_{\pm}^3 = \lambda_{\pm}$.

The Word Super

- Next, let's add **fermionic degrees of freedom**, i.e., consider the extension:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^{5|2\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{M}^{4|2\mathcal{N}} \times \mathbb{C}P^1 & & \\ \swarrow \pi_1 & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ \mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}} & & \mathcal{M}^{4|2\mathcal{N}} \end{array}$$

The additional coordinates on $\mathcal{M}^{4|2\mathcal{N}}$ are $\eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}$ and they correspond on $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ to $\eta_i^{\pm} = \eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\pm}$.

- Thus, $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ is nothing but

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

- Note that the **1st Chern number** of $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ is $c_1 = 4 - \mathcal{N}$.

Reality

- Now one may define real structures to get the signatures $++++$ and $--++$, respectively.
- In these cases, our double fibration reduces to a single fibration, since we have the diffeomorphism:

$$\mathcal{P}^{3|N} \cong \mathcal{F}^{6|2N} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4|2N} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$$

[A. D. Popov, C. Sämann, hep-th/0405123]

- In the following, we shall restrict ourselves to the **Euclidean** setting.

Self-Dual SYM Theory

- Let's now discuss supergauge theory in the supertwistor context.
- Consider a **holomorphic** vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ which is characterized by the transition function f_{+-} .
- Then, $D_{\alpha}^{\pm} = \lambda_{\pm}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, $\partial_{\bar{\lambda}_{\pm}}$ and $D_{\pm}^i = \lambda_{\pm}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$ form a basis of $T^{0,1}\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ and **annihilate** f_{+-} .
- Assume further that \mathcal{E} is **holomorphically trivial** on any $\mathbb{C}P_{x,\eta}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$. Then, **Birkhoff** tells us that

$$f_{+-} = \psi_{+}^{-1} \psi_{-}, \quad \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_{\pm}} \psi_{\pm} = 0.$$

Self-Dual SYM Theory

- Therefore, we learn that $\psi_+ D_\alpha^+ \psi_+^{-1} = \psi_- D_\alpha^+ \psi_-^{-1}$ and $\psi_+ D_+^i \psi_+^{-1} = \psi_- D_+^i \psi_-^{-1}$ must be at **most** linear in λ_+ .
- Thus, we introduce a Lie algebra valued **one-form** \mathcal{A} with components:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\alpha^+ &:= \lambda_+^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \psi_\pm D_\alpha^+ \psi_\pm^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\lambda}_\pm} &:= 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_+^i &:= \lambda_+^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i = \psi_\pm D_+^i \psi_\pm^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

- In summary, we find the linear system

$$(D_\alpha^+ + \mathcal{A}_\alpha^+) \psi_\pm = 0, \quad \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_\pm} \psi_\pm = 0, \quad (D_+^i + \mathcal{A}_+^i) \psi_\pm = 0.$$

Self-Dual SYM Theory

- Clearly, we have certain **compatibility conditions** which are:

$$[\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \nabla_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] + [\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \nabla_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}] = 0,$$

$$[\nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \nabla_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] + [\nabla_{\dot{\beta}}^i, \nabla_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}] = 0,$$

$$\{\nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \nabla_{\dot{\beta}}^j\} + \{\nabla_{\dot{\beta}}^i, \nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^j\} = 0,$$

where

$$\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} := \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^i := \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^i + \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i.$$

Self-Dual SYM Theory

- Recall that $\mathcal{N} = 4$ self-dual SYM theory has:
 - a self-dual gauge potential $A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$,
 - 4 positive chirality spinors χ_{α}^i ,
 - 6 scalars $W^{ij} = -W^{ji}$,
 - 4 negative chirality spinors $\chi_{i\dot{\alpha}}$,
 - an anti-self-dual two-form $G_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$.
- Imposing the transversal gauge $\eta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i = 0$, we find the superfield expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \chi_{\alpha}^i \eta_i^{\dot{\beta}} + \dots \\ \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i &= \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} W^{ij} \eta_j^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{4}{3} \epsilon^{ijkl} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \chi_{k\dot{\gamma}} \eta_l^{\dot{\gamma}} \eta_j^{\dot{\beta}} - \\ &\quad - \frac{5}{6} \epsilon^{ijkl} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (G_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} \delta_l^m + \dots) \eta_k^{\dot{\gamma}} \eta_m^{\dot{\delta}} \eta_j^{\dot{\beta}} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Self-Dual SYM Theory

- Altogether, we obtain the e.o.m. of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ self-dual SYM theory on \mathbb{R}^4 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= 0, \\
 \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \chi_{\dot{\beta}}^i &= 0, \\
 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{\beta\dot{\beta}} W^{ij} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \{\chi_{\alpha}^i, \chi_{\beta}^j\} &= 0, \\
 \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \chi_{i\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} [W^{kl}, \chi_{\alpha}^j] &= 0, \\
 \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} G_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} + \{\chi_{\alpha}^i, \chi_{i\dot{\gamma}}\} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijkl} [\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} W^{ij}, W^{kl}] &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

PW Transform

- From $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^+ = \psi_\pm D_\alpha^+ \psi_\pm^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_+^i = \psi_\pm D_+^i \psi_\pm^{-1}$ we deduce

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \oint_{S^1} \frac{d\lambda_+}{2\pi i \lambda_+} \frac{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^+}{\lambda_+^{\dot{\alpha}}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i = \oint_{S^1} \frac{d\lambda_+}{2\pi i \lambda_+} \frac{\mathcal{A}_+^i}{\lambda_+^{\dot{\alpha}}}.$$

Penrose-Ward Transform

- Furthermore, when $\psi_\pm \mapsto \psi_\pm h_\pm$ (where h_\pm holomorphic) then $(\mathcal{E}, f_{+-}) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}', h_+^{-1} f_{+-} h_-)$, i.e., $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}'$. Under such transformations, the components of \mathcal{A} do not change. On the other hand, gauge transformations of \mathcal{A} are induced by $\psi_\pm \mapsto g^{-1} \psi_\pm$ which leaves f_{+-} invariant.

Supertwistor Correspondence I

- Thus, we've described a **one-to-one correspondence** between equivalence classes of **holomorphic vector bundles** over the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ which are **holomorphically trivial along any $\mathbb{C}P^1_{x,\eta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$** and gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the **\mathcal{N} -extended self-dual SYM equations on \mathbb{R}^4** .

Another Trivialization

- Above, we've chosen a special trivialization of \mathcal{E} . However, one can choose more general trivializations, s.t.

$$f_{+-} = \psi_+^{-1} \psi_- = \hat{\psi}_+^{-1} \hat{\psi}_-.$$

- Let's choose the following one:

$$f_{+-} = \hat{\psi}_+^{-1} \hat{\psi}_-, \quad D_{\pm}^i \hat{\psi}_{\pm} = 0$$

- Thus, $\varphi := \psi_+ \hat{\psi}_+^{-1} = \psi_- \hat{\psi}_-^{-1}$ is globally well defined and induces a gauge transformation of \mathcal{A} according to $\hat{\psi}_{\pm} \mapsto \varphi^{-1} \psi_{\pm}$, s.t.

$$(D_{\alpha}^+ + \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}^+) \hat{\psi}_{\pm} = 0, \quad (\partial_{\bar{\lambda}_{\pm}} + \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{\lambda}_{\pm}}) \hat{\psi}_{\pm} = 0, \quad D_{\pm}^i \hat{\psi}_{\pm} = 0.$$

HCS Theory

- The compatibility conditions of the previous system are given by (suppressing the “+”):

$$D_\alpha \hat{\mathcal{A}}_\beta - D_\beta \hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha + [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_\beta] = 0,$$

$$\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha - D_\alpha \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{\lambda}} + [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha] = 0,$$

i.e., hCS theory on $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$.

- What's the explicit form of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{\lambda}}$?
 Recall that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ are sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(-2)$. This, together with the fact that the η_i are $\Pi\mathcal{O}(1)$ valued fixes the λ -dependence of the components of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ (up to gauge transformations).

HCS Theory

- We find:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_\alpha &= \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \eta_i \chi_\alpha^i + \frac{1}{2!} \gamma \eta_i \eta_j \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} W_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{ij} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^2 \eta_i \eta_j \eta_k \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \chi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}^{ijk} + \frac{1}{4!} \gamma^3 \eta_i \eta_j \eta_k \eta_l \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}^{ijkl}, \\ \hat{A}_{\hat{\lambda}} &= \frac{1}{2!} \gamma^2 \eta_i \eta_j W^{ij} + \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^3 \eta_i \eta_j \eta_k \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \chi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{ijk} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!} \gamma^4 \eta_i \eta_j \eta_k \eta_l \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} G_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}^{ijkl},\end{aligned}$$

where the red colored fields represent the field content of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ self-dual SYM theory.

HCS Theory

- Substituting these expansions into the field equations, we get the e.o.m. of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ self-dual SYM theory on \mathbb{R}^4 .
- What about the action?
Recall that $\mathcal{P}^{3|4}$ is a **CY**, i.e., we can write down an action functional for hCS theory,

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \Omega \wedge \text{tr}(\mathcal{A} \wedge \bar{\partial}\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}),$$

where $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{P}^{3|4}$ is given by $\bar{\eta}_i = 0$.

- Our field expansions plus integration reproduce the Lorentz invariant Siegel action for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ self-dual SYM theory.

[W. Siegel, hep-th/9205075]

Supertwistor Correspondence II

- Thus, once again we've described a **one-to-one correspondence** between equivalence classes of **holomorphic vector bundles** over the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ which are **holomorphically trivial on any** $\mathbb{C}P_{x,\eta}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ and gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the **\mathcal{N} -extended self-dual SYM equations on \mathbb{R}^4** . In other words, there is a **bijection** between the moduli spaces of hCS theory on $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$ and the one of self-dual SYM theory on \mathbb{R}^4 . In fact, our field expansions of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ define the **Penrose-Ward transform** explicitly.

Signs of Integrability

- **Integrable structures** in $SU(N)$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory have first been discovered by Minahan and Zarembo in the **large N -limit**. [[J. A. Minahan, K. Zarembo, hep-th/0212208](#)]
- Later on, it has been realized that it's possible to interpret the **one-loop dilatation operator** as **Hamiltonian** of an integrable quantum spin chain. [[N. Beisert, hep-th/0307015](#)]
- Another development which has pointed towards integrable structures was triggered by Bena, Polchinski and Roiban who showed that the **classical Green-Schwarz superstring** on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ possesses an infinite number of **conserved nonlocal charges**. [[I. Bena, J. Polchinski, R. Roiban, hep-th/0305116](#)]

Signs of Integrability

- Berkovits has then shown that these nonlocal charges also exist after including **quantum corrections**.

[N. Berkovits, hep-th/0409159, hep-th/0411170]

- Dolan, Nappi and Witten related these nonlocal charges for the superstring to a corresponding set of nonlocal charges in the gauge theory.

[L. Dolan, C. Nappi, E. Witten, hep-th/0308089, hep-th/0401243]

Signs of Integrability

- In the following, we'll show how one can (at least classically) construct **hidden symmetry algebras** (and hence an infinite number of conserved nonlocal charges) in SYM theory via the **supertwistor correspondence**.
[M. Wolf, hep-th/0412163]
- For simplicity, let's concentrate on the self-dual subsector of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. However, the presented algorithm also applies (modulo technicalities) to the **full** theory which is due to the existence of a **supertwistor correspondence** relating holomorphic vector bundles over a **super quadric** living inside the **superambitwistor space** $\mathbb{C}P^{3|3} \times \mathbb{C}P^{3|3}$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory on \mathbb{R}^4 .

Some Preliminaries

- Above, we've seen that via the PW-transform we got the bijection:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}) \ni [f] \longleftrightarrow [\mathcal{A}] \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{SDYM}}^{\mathcal{N}}$$

- However, we can associate with any open subset $\Omega \subset \mathcal{U}_+ \cap \mathcal{U}_-$ with $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{U}_+ \cup \mathcal{U}_-$ an **infinite** number of such $[f]$.
- Each class $[f]$ corresponds to a class $[\mathcal{A}]$ and vice versa.
Q: Can one construct a new solution from a given one?

Some Preliminaries

- In the sequel, we consider **infinitesimal** deformations of the transition functions and relate them – by virtue of PW – to **infinitesimal** perturbations of the gauge potential:

$$T_{[f]} \mathcal{M}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}) \cong T_{[\mathcal{A}]} \mathcal{M}_{\text{SDYM}}^{\mathcal{N}}$$

- Remark: The right mathematical tool to describe these deformations is sheaf cohomology.

Infinitesimal Deformations

- Consider $(\mathcal{E}, f_{+-}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$. Then a version of Kodaira's theorem tells us that any infinitesimal deformation of f_{+-} is allowed, as **small** enough perturbations of \mathcal{E} will preserve its trivializability properties on the curves $\mathbb{C}P_{x,\eta}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$.
- Consider now

$$\delta : f_{+-} \mapsto \delta f_{+-} = \sum \epsilon_a \delta_a f_{+-}$$

Thus,

$$f_{+-} + \delta f_{+-} = (\psi_+ + \delta\psi_+)^{-1}(\psi_- + \delta\psi_-),$$

Infinitesimal Deformations

i.e.,

$$\delta f_{+-} = f_{+-} \psi_-^{-1} \delta \psi_- - \psi_+^{-1} \delta \psi_+ f_{+-}$$

together with the $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -valued function

$$\varphi_{+-} \equiv \psi_+ (\delta f_{+-}) \psi_-^{-1}$$

yields

$$\varphi_{+-} = \phi_+ - \phi_-, \quad \delta \psi_{\pm} = -\phi_{\pm} \psi_{\pm}$$

- The ϕ_{\pm} are holomorphic in λ_{\pm} .
- The ϕ_{\pm} are **not** unique.
- To find ϕ_{\pm} means to solve the infinitesimal **Riemann-Hilbert problem**.

Infinitesimal Deformations

- From $\mathcal{A}_I^+ = \psi_{\pm} D_I^+ \psi_{\pm}^{-1}$, where $I = (\alpha, i)$, we deduce

$$\delta \mathcal{A}_I^+ = \nabla_I^+ \phi_{\pm}, \quad \nabla_I^+ \equiv D_I^+ + \mathcal{A}_I^+.$$

- Thus, using the twistor functions $\nabla_I^+ \phi_{\pm}$ and performing contour integrals as before, gives the desired deformations $\delta \mathcal{A}_a$, where $a = (\alpha \dot{\alpha}, i \dot{\alpha})$.

- Remark:

- $\phi_{\pm} = \psi_{\pm} \chi_{\pm} \psi_{\pm}^{-1} \implies \delta \mathcal{A}_a = 0$
- $\delta \mathcal{A}_a = \nabla_a \omega \implies \phi_{\pm} = \omega \implies \delta f_{+-} = 0$

Infinitesimal Deformations

- Thus, we've

$$PW : [\delta f_{+-}] \longleftrightarrow [\delta \mathcal{A}_a].$$

- Question:

Let $\{\delta_a\}$ be a set of transformations according to

$\delta_a : f_{+-} \mapsto f_{+-} + \sum \epsilon_a \delta_a f_{+-}$ satisfying $[\delta_a, \delta_b] = C_{ab}{}^c \delta_c$.

What is the corresponding symmetry algebra of the e.o.m.?

Example I: Kac-Moody Symmetries

- Let \mathfrak{g} be some **Lie superalgebra** with

$$[X_a, X_b] = f_{ab}{}^c X_c.$$

- Define the following perturbation:

$$\delta_a^m f_{+-} \equiv \lambda_+^m [X_a, f_{+-}], \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Then, it's easy to see that

$$[\delta_a^m, \delta_b^n] = f_{ab}{}^c \delta_c^{m+n},$$

i.e., we get a centerless **Kac-Moody algebra** $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]]$.

Example I: Kac-Moody Symmetries

- Next, we need to find the corresponding algebra on the gauge theory side.
- Algorithm:
 - (i) concrete splitting of $\varphi_{+-a}^m = \psi_+ \delta_a^m f_{+-} \psi_-^{-1}$
 - (ii) action of δ_a^m on \mathcal{A}_a
 - (iii) compute $[\delta_a^m, \delta_b^n]$ on \mathcal{A}_a

Example I: Kac-Moody Symmetries

(i) We find

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{+-a}^m &= \psi_+ \delta_a^m f_{+-} \psi_-^{-1} = \phi_{+a}^m - \phi_{-a}^m \\ &= \psi_+ \lambda_+^m [X_a, f_{+-}] \psi_-^{-1} = \dots = \lambda_+^m \phi_{+a}^0 - \lambda_+^m \phi_{-a}^0\end{aligned}$$

together with $\phi_{\pm a}^0 = -[X_a, \psi_{\pm}] \psi_{\pm}^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{+a}^m &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_+^{m+n} \phi_{+a}^{0(n)} - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \lambda_+^{m-n} \phi_{-a}^{0(n)} \\ \phi_{-a}^m &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_+^{-n} \phi_{-a}^{0(m+n)}\end{aligned}$$

Example I: Kac-Moody Symmetries

- (ii) The transformations of the components of the gauge potential are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_a^m \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{1}} &= \nabla_{\alpha\dot{1}} \phi_{-a}^{m(0)}, \\ \delta_a^m \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\dot{2}} &= -\nabla_{\alpha\dot{1}} \phi_{-a}^{m(1)} + \nabla_{\alpha\dot{2}} \phi_{-a}^{m(0)}\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$.

- (iii) A lengthy calculation shows that

$$[\delta_a^m, \delta_b^n] = f_{ab}{}^c \delta_c^{m+n}.$$

Thus, we get indeed $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]]$.

Example I: Kac-Moody Symmetries

Some Aside:

We considered the simplest example, namely

$$\delta_a^m f_{+-} = \lambda_+^m [X_a, f_{+-}].$$

But of course, one can take more general transformations, such as

$$\delta f_{+-} = [R, f_{+-}],$$

where R is some arbitrary matrix depending holomorphically on the twistor coordinates. This essentially boils down to the infinitesimal action of the group $C^1(\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{O}_{GI})$ on the space $Z^1(\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{O}_{GI})$ in Čech terminology.

Example II: Superconformal Symmetries

- Recall that the self-dual SYM equations are **conformally invariant**. Consider $\mathbb{R}^{4|2\mathcal{N}}$ and let $\{N_a \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{R}^{4|2\mathcal{N}})\}$ be the set of generators of the superconformal group realized as vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{4|2\mathcal{N}}$. Then

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A} + \delta_N \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{L}_N \mathcal{A}$$

gives a symmetry of the e.o.m.

- Remember that the linear system $(D_i^+ + \mathcal{A}_i^+) \psi_{\pm} = 0$ has the self-dual SYM equations as compatibility condition.

How to define the action of the superconformal group on the supertwistor space $\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}}$?

Example II: Superconformal Symmetries

- Remember that the (super)twistor space describes constant **almost complex structures** on $\mathbb{R}^{4|2\mathcal{N}}$.
- Thus, the action of the superconformal group must preserve a fixed almost complex structure J , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{N}_a} J = 0.$$

- This condition gives a set of PDEs for the pulled-back vectorfields $\tilde{N}_a \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{P}^{3|\mathcal{N}})$, which can be solved explicitly.

Example II: Superconformal Symmetries

- Thus,

$$\delta_a \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}_{N_a} \mathcal{A}, \quad \delta_a \psi_{\pm} = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{N}_a} \psi_{\pm} = \tilde{N}_a \psi_{\pm}$$

leaves the linear system together with its compatibility conditions **invariant**. Furthermore, we've

$$[\delta_a, \delta_b] = f_{ab}{}^c \delta_c, \tag{1}$$

where the $f_{ab}{}^c$ are the structure constants of the superconformal group.

Example II: Superconformal Symmetries

- Next, we consider affine extensions of (1) and define

$$\tilde{N}_a^m \equiv \lambda_+^m \tilde{N}_a^b \partial_b + \lambda_+^m \tilde{N}_a^{\lambda_+} \partial_{\lambda_+} + \bar{\lambda}_+^m \tilde{N}_a^{\bar{\lambda}_+} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}_+},$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- Note that $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{N}_a^m} J = 0!$
- Then, we define

$$\delta_a^m f_{+-} \equiv \tilde{N}_a^m f_{+-}.$$

Example II: Superconformal Symmetries

- It's easy to verify that

$$[\delta_a^m, \delta_b^n] = (f_{ab}{}^c + ng_a \delta_b^c - (-)^{p_a p_b} mg_b \delta_a^c) \delta_c^{m+n},$$

with $g_a \equiv \lambda_+^{-1} \tilde{N}_a^{\lambda+}$.

- Therefore, depending of what subalgebra of the superconformal algebra we're considering, we obtain pure **Kac-Moody** and **Virasoro** algebras – in general though **KMV** algebras.
- Now, we need to find the corresponding algebra on the gauge theory side. Since it's already late, I won't bother you with details and rather give the results:

Example II: Superconformal Symmetries

- (i) The infinitesimal Riemann-Hilbert problem gives:

$$\varphi_{+-a}^m = \psi_+ \delta_a^m \psi_-^{-1} = \lambda_+^m \phi_{+a}^0 - \lambda_+^m \phi_{-a}^0$$

with $\phi_{\pm a}^0 = -(\tilde{N}_a \psi_{\pm}) \psi_{\pm}^{-1}$.

- (ii) The transformation laws of the gauge potential are as before, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a^m \mathcal{A}_{\alpha 1} &= \nabla_{\alpha 1} \phi_{-a}^{m(0)}, \\ \delta_a^m \mathcal{A}_{\alpha 2} &= -\nabla_{\alpha 1} \phi_{-a}^{m(1)} + \nabla_{\alpha 2} \phi_{-a}^{m(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Example II: Superconformal Symmetries

(iii) The algebra reads as

$$[\delta_a^m, \delta_b^n] = h_{ab}{}^c \delta_c^{m+n} + \sum_k (ng_a^{(k)} \delta_b^c - (-)^{p_a p_b} g_b^{(k)} \delta_a^c) \delta_c^{m+n+k}.$$

Remark:

Here, the $h_{ab}{}^c$ are the structure constants of the **maximal** subalgebra of the superconformal algebra which **doesn't** contain $\tilde{K}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\tilde{K}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}$, respectively!

Conclusions

What we have:

- I've explained the **supertwistor correspondence** relating holomorphic vector bundles over the supertwistor space and self-dual SYM theory in four dimensions.
- I've shown how to construct **hidden symmetry algebras** of the self-dual SYM equations by using supertwistors.
- In particular, we discussed affine extensions of **gauge** and **spacetime** symmetries.

Conclusions

What we have:

There are other aspects/applications which I haven't touched here:

- One can consider certain Abelian subalgebras of the affinely extended superconformal algebra to construct **hierarchies** of the self-dual SYM equations.
- These lead to infinitely many **Abelian** symmetries and naturally to **enhanced supertwistor spaces**.
[M. Wolf, hep-th/0412163]
- They are open subsets of **weighted projective spaces**, which are in certain situations **CY spaces**.

Conclusions

What we have:

- The 4D spacetime interpretation of hCS theory on certain weighted projective spaces by virtue of PW has been discussed. [A. D. Popov, M. Wolf, hep-th/0406224]
- The supertwistor correspondence (and the resulting 4D gauge theories) has been extended to so-called **exotic supermanifolds**. [C. Sämann, hep-th/0410292]
- One may also consider dimensional reductions and discuss a 3D version which leads to the **mini-supertwistor space**, which is CY, and to 3D $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SYM theory. This setup allows also for mass deformations. [D. W. Chiou et al., hep-th/0502076]
[to appear]

Outlook

What's next?

- One should generalize the above construction to the **full** $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, which is possible (modulo technicalities) due to the existence of a supertwistor correspondence.
- Hopefully, it will then be possible to discuss quantum corrections to the obtained symmetry algebras in the large N -limit using supertwistor techniques.
- ...